Enumeration of cospectral and coinvariant graphs Virtual CombinaTexas 2021

Carlos A. Alfaro joint with Aida Abiad, Kristin Heysse and Marcos Vargas Given a simple connected graph G, we associate a matrix M(G) to G.



Given a simple connected graph G, we associate a matrix M(G) to G.

- *A*(*G*), **adjacency** matrix
- $L(G) = \deg(G) A(G)$, Laplacian matrix
- $Q(G) = \deg(G) + A(G)$, signless Laplacian matrix

where deg(G) is the diagonal matrix whose diagonal entries are the degrees in G.

Given a simple connected graph G, we associate a matrix M(G) to G.

- A(G), adjacency matrix
- $L(G) = \deg(G) A(G)$, Laplacian matrix
- $Q(G) = \deg(G) + A(G)$, signless Laplacian matrix

where deg(G) is the diagonal matrix whose diagonal entries are the degrees in G.

- D(G), **distance** matrix
- $D^{L}(G) = Tr(G) D(G)$, distance Laplacian matrix
- $D^Q(G) = Tr(G) + D(G)$, signless distance Laplacian matrix

where Tr(G) denotes the diagonal matrix of the vertex transmissions in G.

The transmission Tr(v) of a vertex v is defined to be the sum of the distances from v to all other vertices in G.

The eigenvalues of M are called the *spectrum* of G with respect to the matrix M, and its multiset is denoted by M-spectrum(G).

The eigenvalues of M are called the *spectrum* of G with respect to the matrix M, and its multiset is denoted by M-spectrum(G). M-cospectral graphs are graphs that share M-spectrum.

The eigenvalues of M are called the *spectrum* of G with respect to the matrix M, and its multiset is denoted by M-spectrum(G). M-cospectral graphs are graphs that share M-spectrum.

Haemers conjectured that the fraction of graphs on n vertices with a M-cospectral mate tends to zero as n tends to infinity.

The eigenvalues of M are called the *spectrum* of G with respect to the matrix M, and its multiset is denoted by M-spectrum(G). M-cospectral graphs are graphs that share M-spectrum.

Haemers conjectured that the fraction of graphs on n vertices with a M-cospectral mate tends to zero as n tends to infinity.

Some enumeration results

- Godsil and McKay (1976) $n \leq 9$ for A
- Haemers and Spence (2004) n = 10, 11 for A
- Brouwer and Spence (2009) n = 12 for A
- Aouchiche and Hansen (2018) $n \leq 10$ for D, D^L, D^Q

Sprectum is a great tool to distinguish graphs

Sprectum is a great tool to distinguish graphs

Our goal is to propose using the Smith normal form (SNF) of certain distance matrices.

Sprectum is a great tool to distinguish graphs

Our goal is to propose using the Smith normal form (SNF) of certain distance matrices.

We will provide numerical evidence that this algebraic graph representation may do a better job in distinguishing graphs.

The **Smith normal form** of a integer matrix M, denoted by SNF(M), is the unique diagonal matrix $diag(f_1, \ldots, f_r, 0, \ldots, 0)$ equivalent to M such that r = rank(M) and $f_i | f_i$ for i < j.

The **Smith normal form** of a integer matrix M, denoted by SNF(M), is the unique diagonal matrix $diag(f_1, \ldots, f_r, 0, \ldots, 0)$ equivalent to M such that r = rank(M) and $f_i|f_j$ for i < j.

The **invariant factors** (or **elementary divisors**) of M are the integers in the diagonal of the SNF(M).

The **Smith normal form** of a integer matrix M, denoted by SNF(M), is the unique diagonal matrix $diag(f_1, \ldots, f_r, 0, \ldots, 0)$ equivalent to M such that r = rank(M) and $f_i|f_j$ for i < j.

The **invariant factors** (or **elementary divisors**) of M are the integers in the diagonal of the SNF(M).

We say that the graphs G and H are M-coinvariant if the SNFs of M(G) and M(H) are the same.

The **Smith normal form** of a integer matrix M, denoted by SNF(M), is the unique diagonal matrix $diag(f_1, \ldots, f_r, 0, \ldots, 0)$ equivalent to M such that r = rank(M) and $f_i|f_j$ for i < j.

The **invariant factors** (or **elementary divisors**) of M are the integers in the diagonal of the SNF(M).

We say that the graphs G and H are M-coinvariant if the SNFs of M(G) and M(H) are the same.

Coinvariant graphs were introduced by Andrew Vince in "Elementary Divisors of Graphs and Matroids" (1991).

Let $\mathcal{G}_n^{sp}(M)$ be the set of graphs in \mathcal{G}_n which have at least one cospectral mate in \mathcal{G}_n with respect to the matrix M.

Let $\mathcal{G}_n^{sp}(M)$ be the set of graphs in \mathcal{G}_n which have at least one cospectral mate in \mathcal{G}_n with respect to the matrix M.

Let $\mathcal{G}_n^{in}(M)$ be the set of graphs in \mathcal{G}_n which have at least one coinvariant mate in \mathcal{G}_n with respect to the matrix M.

Let $\mathcal{G}_n^{sp}(M)$ be the set of graphs in \mathcal{G}_n which have at least one cospectral mate in \mathcal{G}_n with respect to the matrix M.

Let $\mathcal{G}_n^{in}(M)$ be the set of graphs in \mathcal{G}_n which have at least one coinvariant mate in \mathcal{G}_n with respect to the matrix M.

The **spectral uncertainty** $sp_n(M)$ with respect to M as the ratio $|\mathcal{G}_n^{sp}(M)|/|\mathcal{G}_n|$

Let $\mathcal{G}_n^{sp}(M)$ be the set of graphs in \mathcal{G}_n which have at least one cospectral mate in \mathcal{G}_n with respect to the matrix M.

Let $\mathcal{G}_n^{in}(M)$ be the set of graphs in \mathcal{G}_n which have at least one coinvariant mate in \mathcal{G}_n with respect to the matrix M.

The **spectral uncertainty** $sp_n(M)$ with respect to M as the ratio $|\mathcal{G}_n^{sp}(M)|/|\mathcal{G}_n|$

The **invariant uncertainty** $in_n(M)$ with respect to M as the ratio $|\mathcal{G}_n^{in}(M)|/|\mathcal{G}_n|$



Figure 1: The fraction of graphs on n vertices that have at least one cospectral mate with respect to a certain associated matrix is denoted as sp. The fraction of graphs on n vertices with respect to a certain associated matrix that have at least one coinvariant mate is denoted as in.



Figure 2: The fraction of graphs on n vertices that have at least one cospectral mate with respect to a certain associated matrix is denoted as sp. The fraction of graphs on n vertices with respect to a certain associated matrix that have at least one coinvariant mate is denoted as in.

Aouchiche and Hansen reported enumeration results on cospectral trees with at most 20 vertices with respect to D, D^L and D^Q .

Aouchiche and Hansen reported enumeration results on cospectral trees with at most 20 vertices with respect to D, D^L and D^Q . There are two pairs of D-cospectral trees among the 123,867 trees on 18 vertices.

Aouchiche and Hansen reported enumeration results on cospectral trees with at most 20 vertices with respect to D, D^L and D^Q . There are two pairs of D-cospectral trees among the 123,867 trees on 18 vertices.

There are six pairs of D-cospectral trees among the 317,955 trees on 19 vertices.

Aouchiche and Hansen reported enumeration results on cospectral trees with at most 20 vertices with respect to D, D^L and D^Q .

There are two pairs of D-cospectral trees among the 123,867 trees on 18 vertices.

There are six pairs of D-cospectral trees among the 317,955 trees on 19 vertices.

There are 14 pairs of D-cospectral trees over all the 823,065 trees on 20 vertices.

Aouchiche and Hansen reported enumeration results on cospectral trees with at most 20 vertices with respect to D, D^L and D^Q .

There are two pairs of D-cospectral trees among the 123,867 trees on 18 vertices.

There are six pairs of D-cospectral trees among the 317,955 trees on 19 vertices.

There are 14 pairs of *D*-cospectral trees over all the 823,065 trees on 20 vertices.

Surprisingly, after the enumeration of all 1,346,023 trees on at most 20 vertices, they found no D^L -cospectral trees and no D^Q -cospectral trees.

Aouchiche and Hansen reported enumeration results on cospectral trees with at most 20 vertices with respect to D, D^L and D^Q .

There are two pairs of D-cospectral trees among the 123,867 trees on 18 vertices.

There are six pairs of D-cospectral trees among the 317,955 trees on 19 vertices.

There are 14 pairs of *D*-cospectral trees over all the 823,065 trees on 20 vertices.

Surprisingly, after the enumeration of all 1,346,023 trees on at most 20 vertices, they found no D^L -cospectral trees and no D^Q -cospectral trees.

Conjecture (Aouchiche and Hansen, 2018)

Every tree is determined by its distance Laplacian spectrum, and by its distance signless Laplacian spectrum.

Coinvariant trees

Hou and Woo extended the Graham and Pollak celebrated formula, $det(D(T_{n+1})) = (-1)^n n 2^{n-1}$ for any tree T_{n+1} with n+1 vertices, to the SNF of the distance matrix.

Coinvariant trees

Hou and Woo extended the Graham and Pollak celebrated formula, $det(D(T_{n+1})) = (-1)^n n 2^{n-1}$ for any tree T_{n+1} with n+1 vertices, to the SNF of the distance matrix.

Theorem (Hou and Woo, 2008)

Let T_{n+1} be a tree with n+1 vertices, then $SNF(D(T_{n+1})) = I_2 \oplus 2I_{n-2} \oplus (2n).$

Coinvariant trees

Hou and Woo extended the Graham and Pollak celebrated formula, $det(D(T_{n+1})) = (-1)^n n 2^{n-1}$ for any tree T_{n+1} with n+1 vertices, to the SNF of the distance matrix.

Theorem (Hou and Woo, 2008)

Let T_{n+1} be a tree with n+1 vertices, then $SNF(D(T_{n+1})) = I_2 \oplus 2I_{n-2} \oplus (2n).$

Corollary

All trees with n vertices are D-coinvariant mates.

After enumerating all trees with at most 20 vertices with respect to D^L and D^Q , we found no D^L -coinvariant mates and no D^Q -coinvariant mates among all trees with up to 20 vertices.

Conjecture

Trees are determined by the SNF of its D^L , and analogously, by the SNF of its D^Q .

Theorem (Abiad, Alfaro, Heysse & Vargas, 2019)

Complete graphs are determined by the SNF of the D^L matrix.

Theorem (Abiad, Alfaro, Heysse & Vargas, 2019)

Star graphs are determined by the SNF of the D^{L} matrix.

Theorem (Abiad, Alfaro, Heysse & Vargas, 2019)

Complete graphs are determined by the SNF of the D^L matrix.

Theorem (Abiad, Alfaro, Heysse & Vargas, 2019)

Star graphs are determined by the SNF of the D^L matrix.

Theorem (Abiad & Alfaro, 2020)

Complete graphs are determined by the SNF of the D^Q matrix.



- A. Abiad and C.A. Alfaro. Enumeration of cospectral and coinvariant graphs. [arXiv:2008.05786]
- A. Abiad, C.A. Alfaro, K. Heysse and M.C. Vargas. Eigenvalues, Smith normal form and determinantal ideals. [arXiv:1910.12502]